

# NICER CALIBRATION: Response Version History

C. B. Markwardt (NASA/GSFC), T. Enoto (Kyoto U.)

Version 1.0 2018-12-13 Initial Version

Version 2.0 2020-07-17 Updates for recent calibration releases

## Introduction

NICER response files provide the information required for spectral fitting of NICER X-ray data. The files are composed of the Ancillary Response File (ARF), which contains effective area information, and the Response Matrix File (RMF), which contains the redistribution properties of NICER focal plane module detectors. This document describes the versions released or considered in depth by the NICER calibration team.

ARF (Ancillary Response File) - contains the effective area and throughput terms associated with the X-ray Concentrator (XRC) modules. Specifically, it captures the energy-dependent effective collecting area of the concentrator reflectors, in addition to absorption in their thermal protection films. The detector quantum efficiency and window transmission are also included in the ARF.

The calibration team has used two different methods for ARF calculation:

- CONSIM, a full physics-based ray trace simulation of the NICER optics performance
- A semi-analytical calculation with some physics, which has produced reasonable results below 6 keV.

The ARF is characterized in terms of parameters that control the reflectivity and image quality of the XRCs. These are typically labeled as gold density  $\rho$  in  $\text{g/cm}^3$ , and surface roughness  $\sigma$  expressed in Angstroms.

RMF (Response Matrix File) - contains the photon-energy-to-channel redistribution terms associated with detector physics, based on the model of Scholze & Procop (2009; *X-Ray Spectrometry* **38**, 312) for silicon drift detectors. The RMF contains the electronics trigger threshold efficiency function, which is pulse-height dependent. Because of this threshold effect, the rows of the RMF may not sum to unity.

**IMPORTANT NOTES:** Both the ARF and RMF files stored in NICER CALDB are the “on-axis array-average” files. On-axis means that the ARF is not appropriate for off-axis observations of

targets. Array average means the files reflect the performance of 52 detectors as a whole. Please contact the Guest Observer Facility if you have an off-axis observation or fewer than 52 operating detectors in your observation.


## Ancillary Response File (ARF) History

Public Release	NICER CALDB Ver	Internal Name	CALDB Date String	Comments
N/A		1.00		ARF derived from CONSIM ray-trace, not distributed to NICER team or to the public.
N/A		1.01		Semi-analytical ARF calculator; gold surface parameters $\rho=18.95$ , $\sigma=4.92\text{\AA}$
2018-04-19	xti20180419	1.02	20170601v002	Gold surface parameters $\rho=19.30$ , $\sigma=3.50\text{\AA}$ . Note that version 20170601v001 in CALDB is not a valid ARF, but is numerically equivalent to this version.
N/A		1.03		Private internal version, not distributed to NICER team or to the public. No numerical change from 1.02
N/A		1.04		Private internal version, not distributed to NICER team or to the public. No numerical change from 1.02
2020-02-02	xti20200202	CONSIM135o	20170601v003 <i>nicer-arf-consim135o-teamonly-array52.arf</i>	Major shift to CONSIM (v1.35) method of ARF calculation. Per-shell optimization ( <i>"August 2019 Cal Summit"</i> )
2020-07-22	xti20200722	CONSIM135p	20170601v004 <i>nicer-consim135p-teamonly-array52.arf</i>	CONSIM method of ARF calculation. New photon energy bin scale. Matches RMF version 6s ( <i>"March 17 2020 Release"</i> )


Table Notes: All files appear in NICER Calibration Database as `nicer/xti/cpf/arf/nixtiavonaxisSSSSSSSS.fits`, where *SSSSSSSS* is the CALDB Date String. Items listed in italics in the table are pre-release names used for internal testing purposes.

## Comparison of NICER ARF to Other Observatories

Figure 1 shows a comparison of the NICER effective area as a function of energy to other recent flagship X-ray observatories that operate in a comparable bandpass.



**Figure 1.** Effective area comparison of NICER (v1.02) and other active X-ray observatories. For other observatories, the public “proposal” responses are used (except for XMM/PN, date 2015-09-19).



**Figure 2.** NICER full-array effective area for release xti20200722 (sum of 52 post-launch active detectors). Key spectral features relating to detector and optical physics are indicated.

## Response Matrix File (RMF) History

Public Release	NICER CALDB Ver	Internal Name	CALDB Date String	Comments
N/A		Pre-1.00 versions		Not widely distributed outside of calibration team. Assumes trigger efficiency in ARF and not RMF
2018-04-04	xti20180404a	1.00	20170601v001	RMF contains trigger efficiency. Available publicly in NICER CALDB since version xti20180605
N/A		1.01		No change from 1.00; version number incremented to match ARF version 1.01
N/A		1.02		No change from 1.01; version number incremented to match ARF version 1.02
N/A		upd52	<i>nicer_upd_d52.rmf</i>	Further refinements to model. Not recommended for general use (“August 2019 Cal Summit”)
2020-07-22	xti20200722	6s	20170601v002 <i>nicer-rmf6s-tea monly-array52. rmf</i>	Significant update: New photon energy binning to match ARF CONSIM135p Improvements to trigger efficiency Improvements to >9 keV response Improvements to <2 keV shelf (“March 17 2020 Release”)

Table Notes: All files appear in NICER Calibration Database as `nicer/xti/cpf/rmf/nixtirefSSSSSSSS.fits`, where `SSSSSSSS` is the CALDB Date String. Items listed in italics in the table are pre-release names used for internal testing purposes.

Figures 3 and 4 show example response matrix charts. Figure 3 is an example of one “slice” of the matrix, for an input photon energy of 3.75 keV. The main photo-peak is visible, with resolution-induced broadening. The photopeak’s low energy tail and shelf features are consequences of partial charge collection. The rolloff at low energies is due to the trigger efficiency (i.e. gradual low energy threshold effect). This is a plot of probability per 10 eV PI bin;

the sum of the values is nominally unity (1.0), with some reduction due to the loss of events below the low-energy threshold. Fluorescence and escape are also visible. Figure 4 shows the full response matrix as a logarithmic intensity diagram over the full 0-15 keV range of NICER.





Figure 3. Example redistribution (RMF) for photon energy of 3.75 keV for release xti20200722. The X axis is pulse height in PI units (1 PI = 10 eV). The Y axis is probability per PI bin.



**Figure 4.** Full two dimensional NICER full-array average response matrix (calibration release xti20200722). The X axis is pulse height as PI (1 PI = 10 eV), and the Y axis is incident photon energy. The logarithmic intensity scale is probability per PI bin, ranging from  $10^{-6}$  (white) to 1 (black). Key features are indicated.